

Evaluation Method	Upper body	20160 particles		cles	15.2s per frame			Upper:	Hea	Shoulders		Elbows		Hands	
Success frame: The side of projected rectangle/ circle exactly covers or covers part of the tracking target (at least 2 views)	Lower body	13600 parti		icles	s 9.9s		ne	Success rate	d	L	R	L	R	L	R
	Lower:	Hips		Knees		Feet		Framework	77.1	91.9	92.3	38.7	37.1	16.8	15.5
	Success rate	L	R	L	R	L	R	P1	79.1	93.9	93.2	62.6	68.7	56.3	57.2
	Framework	96.2	96.2	87.0	85.6	76.9	74.5	P1, 3, 5	92.6	96.4	96.3	80.0	81.4	77.3	75.4
Σ success frame	P3, 5	98.3	98.3	97.5	95.3	97.8	95.0	P1, 2, 3, 5	92.7	96.6	96.3	88.1	89.1	79.7	80.1
Success rate = $\frac{\sum frame}{\sum frame}$	P2, 3, 4, 5	98.3	98.3	98.4	98.0	98.1	97.8	P1, 2, 3, 4, 5	92.7	96.6	96.4	91.6	93.8	87.4	88.3

Conclusion

Our proposals achieve high tracking accuracy (lower body: success rate reaches 97%; upper body: success rate reaches 87%, 71.7% average improvement for hands) by implementing effective tracking of high-speed, random player motions and distinguishment between body parts of target from similar noises of other athletes.

Graduate School of Information, Production and Systems Waseda University